

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,708	07/10/2003	David T. Beatson	27104D USA	1550	
23307	7590 08/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP			FOOTLAND, LENARD A		
2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HIA, PA 191072950		3682		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,708	BEATSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lenard A. Footland	3682
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely, Forom the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6,7,16,18,21 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17, 19-20, 23-24, 26 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	from consideration. to. for election requirement.	
<u> </u>	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, , ,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-28-04</u>. 	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/616,708

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig('s). 1 and 6 is/are acknowledged. Claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected species, not all claims depending upon or otherwise including the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species. Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

Claim(s) 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "lightweight" in claim 6 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. It is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/616,708

Art Unit: 3682

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 18, 21 and 25 (and 6-7 to the extent definite) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Esko et al. in view of engineering design choice.

The examiner finds that Esko et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the additional limitations of these claims. The selection of a known material such as hardened steel based on its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill in the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 199, 125 USPQ 416, 418 (CCPA 1960).

There is reason to believe, based on the similarity of material, that the functional limitation of steel for use in tools may be an Application/Control Number: 10/616,708

Art Unit: 3682

inherent characteristic of the steel recited. In accordance with In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977):

[W]here the Patent Office has reason to believe that a functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in the claimed subject matter may, in fact, be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, it possesses the authority to require the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on.

This "burden of rebutting [may be of] the PTO's reasonable assertion of inherency under 35 USC 102, or of prima facie obviousness under 35 USC 103" (195 USPQ at 432).

Accordingly, the burden is placed upon the applicant to prove that the "tool" limitation in question is not an inherent characteristic of the steel in question. Alternatively, the substitution of tool steel is an obvious matter of official notice in the bearing art.

Claims 17, 19-20, 23-24, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-5, 8-15 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,708 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Lenard A. Footland

Twand A Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf August 13, 2004